

MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING OF CITY COUNCIL HELD WITHIN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER ON WEDNESDAY THE 6th DAY OF APRIL, 1910 AT 8 O'CLOCK, P.M.

His Worship Mayor May presiding and all the Aldermen present.

The Council proceeded to consider the proportion in which the cost of the Lonsdale Avenue Improvement should be assessed against the land benefited, and the Municipality at large, and after a lengthened deliberation, in which the City Engineer took part, Alderman Fowler moved that in the matter of the grading contract, the City assume the cost of the work on improvement opposite Street intersections only.

The motion was seconded by Alderman McNeish and unanimously agreed to. On a further motion of Alderman Irwin seconded by Alderman Schultz, it was resolved that the City contribute the same proportion of the cost of improvements which may be done on other sections of Lonsdale Avenue.

The following plans of subdivisions were considered and approved by the Council:

Lot 9, Block 3, D.L. 616
North Part of D.L. 616

The following sketches or plans of proposed subdivisions received the preliminary approval of the Council:

Blocks 203A, 204, 204A, 213, 213A and 226A, D.L. 544/5.
Blocks 212A, D.L. 544
Blocks 225, 225A and 242, D.L. 544
Block 227A, D.L. 545

A plan of a proposed subdivision of a portion of D.L. 544, was referred back, so that the Street shown above 24th Street may be made to conform with the subdivision of Block 242.

A Plan of the proposed subdivision of Lots 1 and 2, Block 3, D.L. 547 was passed subject to a 66' Street being continued North from 19th Street to 21st Street, or that portion of the plan marked "A" being eliminated from this subdivision.

A letter was read from Mrs. C.J. Hall, making an appeal to the Council for a grant in aid of the "May Day Celebrations".

After consideration the Council decided not to give a grant for this purpose.

In considering the construction of sewers on that part of Lonsdale Avenue between 13th and 18th Streets, Alderman Irwin moved that the opinion of Mr. Davies of the firm of Davies, Marshall & McNeill, be obtained, as to whether the Council can construct a common and storm drainage sewer in one work.

On the further motion of Alderman Schultz seconded by Alderman Irwin, the City Engineer was instructed to report on the relative cost and merits of cement and vitrified pipe for storm sewers.

The Council thereafter adjourned.

Signed: Wm. H. May, Mayor